**Reviewer’s Form**

**INTRODUCTION:**

The journal encourages a wide range of manuscripts from the broader domains of basic and applied health sciences, clinical and translational research, public health and health professions education. All the manuscripts submitted for publishing must be written in compliance with the journal’s instruction to authors and are subjected to double blinded peer-review.

**GUIDELINES FOR REVIEWER:**

To maintain scientific quality, the reviewers are required to assess the manuscript upon following elements:

1. Novelty and originality: Does the article contain material that is new or adds significantly to pre-existing knowledge?
2. Importance and impact: Are the presented results of significant importance and impact to advancement in the relevant field? Is this article likely to be cited in the future?
3. Appropriateness of study design/methods: Is the article scientifically sound? Does it provide sufficient in-depth discussion or understanding in view of its application?
4. Appropriateness of referencing: Has adequate credit been given to other contributors in the field? Are the references complete and written correctly?
5. Completeness of manuscript: Does the manuscript meet publishable standards of the journal in terms of concepts, structure, clarity of statistical data, tables and diagrams.
6. Reviewers proposal to the course of action may be to:
   1. Accept the paper as presented
   2. Repeat the review process after changes recommended by reviewer
   3. Reject the paper

**CLASSIFICATION OF MANUSCRIPT:**

The journal classifies manuscripts as follows:

1. Original Research Article: Manuscripts presenting the results of original research that have not been previously published.
2. Review Article: Manuscript containing critical evaluation of current research in a specific area, with the content clear enough to permit a good insight into the subject matter.

**REVIEWER’S INFORMATION:**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Name |  | | |
| Designation |  | | |
| Organization |  | | |
| Address |  | | |
| Contact No. |  | Email ID |  |
| *I declare that my evaluation of the given manuscript conforms to all professional, scientific and ethical standards. I have no conflict of interest in reviewing the manuscript.* | | Signature |  |

**THE EVALUATION PERFORMA:**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. **GENERAL INFORMATION** | | | | | | | | |
| Manuscript Title | |  | | | | | | |
| Reference Number | |  | | | | |  |  |
| 1. **GENERAL EVALUATION OF MANUSCRIPT** | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | **Adequate** | | **Needs more detail** | **Not applicable** | **Additional Comments**  **(if any)** |
| **Title & Abstract** | | | | | | | | |
| Study design mentioned in title (for clinical studies) | | | |  | |  |  |  |
| Title reflects the topic and importance of study | | | |  | |  |  |  |
| Informative and balanced summary of methods, results and conclusion | | | |  | |  |  |  |
|  | | | | | | | | |
| Background / Rationale | | | |  | |  |  |  |
| Objectives of the study | | | |  | |  |  |  |
|  | | | | | | | | |
| Study design | | | |  | |  |  |  |
| Study settings and duration of study | | | |  | |  |  |  |
| Study participants (Eligibility criteria) | | | |  | |  |  |  |
| Intervention & Study outcomes | | | |  | |  |  |  |
| Randomization and blinding details | | | |  | |  |  |  |
| Qualitative and Quantitative variables | | | |  | |  |  |  |
| Study sample (sampling technique, sample size) | | | |  | |  |  |  |
| Materials and Methods (sufficient details that allow the experiments to be replicated) | | | |  | |  |  |  |
| Statistical analysis | | | |  | |  |  |  |
| **Results** | | | | | | | | |
| Participant flow diagram | | | |  | |  |  |  |
| Descriptive and Inferential data | | | |  | |  |  |  |
| **Discussion** | | | | | | | | |
| Key results with reference to study objectives | | | |  | |  |  |  |
| Interpretation of results | | | |  | |  |  |  |
| Generalizability of study results | | | |  | |  |  |  |
| Limitations of study (sources of potential bias) | | | |  | |  |  |  |
| 1. **ORIGINALITY OF THE PAPER AND ITS CONTRIBUTION TO RESPECTIVE FIELD** | | | | | | | | |
| Contribution to the field | | | □ None | □ Moderately Significant | | | | □ Significant |
| 1. **FINAL DECISION** | | | | | | | | |
| □ Reject | **□** Accept with major revisions and further review | | | | □ Accept with minor changes without further review | | | □ Accept |
| Reviewers recommendations for improvements and revision (if any): | | | | | | | | |